MEASURING THE STATE OF DISASTER PHILANTHROPY | 2015

Select Year of Data

2015

2014

2013

Data Source: U.S. Foundations — Top 1,000
Filtering by: Europe & Russia

SHARE OF TOTAL GIVING, 2015

3.12%

$4,925,466

20 Grants

TOP FUNDERS

1. Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation
   $1.5 M
2. The Coca-Cola Foundation, Inc.
   $1.3 M
3. Charles Stewart Mott Foundation
   $850.0 K
4. Foundation to Promote Open Society
   $635.4 K
5. UPS Foundation
   $431.1 K

TOP RECIPIENTS

1. United States Association for United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
   $1.1 M
2. Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
   $1.0 M
3. Open Society Fund-Bosnia and Herzegovina
   $635.4 K
4. Clovek v Tisni
   $550.0 K
5. International Rescue Committee - New York City
   $550.0 K

BREAKDOWN BY DISASTER TYPE

Disasters - General	Natural Disasters	Complex Humanitarian Emergencies	Man-made Accidents
20% of giving	**14% of giving**	**65% of giving**	**0% of giving**
$1,003,883	$703,683	$3,220,900	$0
8 grants	3 grants	9 grants	0 grants

BREAKDOWN BY DISASTER ASSISTANCE STRATEGY

Resilience, Risk Reduction, & Mitigation	**0% of giving**	$0	0 grants
Preparedness	**2% of giving**	$106,250	2 grants
Response & Relief	**44% of giving**	$2,166,078	10 grants
Reconstruction & Recovery	**0% of giving**	$0	0 grants
Multiple Strategies	**27% of giving**	$1,335,433	3 grants
Unspecified	**22% of giving**	$1,092,726	3 grants
Other	**5% of giving**	$225,000	2 grants

About the Data: U.S. Foundations — Top 1,000

Data include all grants of $10,000 or more reported by 1,000 of the largest U.S. foundations. The dataset accounts for approximately half of the total grant dollars awarded by the universe of independent, corporate, community, and grantmaking operating foundations. The data do not include gifts by corporate giving programs or public charities. The data also exclude grants, fellowships, or awards directly to individuals; grants paid by private foundations to U.S. community foundations (to avoid double counting of dollars); and loans or program-related investments.

Funding by region includes double counting of grants that benefited multiple regions. An additional [illegible] million went to programs providing a global benefit, which are not reflected in the graphic.

© Copyright 2015 Foundation Center